UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

		V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Jo	oseph McGillis Lucas	Case Number: CR-18-00150-DGC (JZB)
			U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	•	clear and convincing evidence the uire the detention of the defendant	e defendant is a danger to the community and pending trial in this case.
\boxtimes	by :	•	the defendant is a flight risk and require the
	(1)	There is probable cause to belied an offense for which a maximum	FINDINGS OF FACT ve that the defendant has committed m term of imprisonment of ten years or more is et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et
	(2)	 □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 9 □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 23 maximum term of imprisonment of the control of the contro	32b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a ten years or more is prescribed. If the prescribed in¹ the presumption established by finding 1 that no ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of
		Alternat	ive Findings
\boxtimes	(1)	There is a serious risk that the conditions will reasonably assure	lefendant will flee; no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of others and the community.	conditions will reasonably assure the safety of
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the justice: or threaten, injure, or inti-	e defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:	
\boxtimes	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.	
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
	\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	
	The Repo	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	
\boxtimes	In ad	dition:	
	<u>Defe</u> Dete	ndant was transferred to this Court upon a Writ, having been detained at Gila River ntion and Rehabilitation Center, Sacaton, Arizona.	

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant

to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 6th day of February, 2018.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge